

# CALLEGUAS CREEK



## A COOPERATIVE STRATEGY FOR RESOURCE MANAGEMENT & PROTECTION

web site - [www.calleguascreek.org](http://www.calleguascreek.org) / e-mail - [watershed@calleguas.com](mailto:watershed@calleguas.com)

---

### **FLOOD/SEDIMENTATION SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING**

**Monday, July 11, 2005**

**1:30 p.m.**

Ventura County Government Center, Hall of Administration  
Conference Room 241

### **MEETING NOTES**

**Attendees:** Co-chairs Peggy Rose and Jeff Pratt; Arne Anselm, Jim Danza, Joe Deakin, Don Hauser, Anita Kuhlman, Mike Miller, Denny Tuan, Sergio Vargas, Darla Wise, and Mark Carpenter.

- I. Welcome and Introductions: Sergio Vargas chaired the meeting and the attendees introduced themselves.
- II. Meeting Notes from July 11, 2005, accepted with out modification.
- III. Draft VCWPD IWPP
  - A. Discussion
  - B. Next Steps
  - C. Recommendations to Steering Committee

Discussion- Jeff stated that the current draft IWPP represents an interim product that was put together at the request of the Board of Supervisors to paint a picture of current issues. Not all of the available modeling has been incorporated into this version and the VCWPD would like to put it out for subcommittee review as a 'straw man' approach for developing a Flood/Sediment Management Strategy for Calleguas Creek. Sergio then gave a PowerPoint presentation (a version of which is available on the main page of the CalleguasCreek.Org web site). The goal of the VCWPD is to have a revised IWPP in 2005 and they are looking for comments from the subcommittee on the weighting schema, project categories, and policy issues.

The group indicated that they felt the current IWPP is too project focused, which can lead stakeholders to believe decisions have already been made and their input will not be truly considered. The group would like to see the IWPP and Strategies evolve as more problem areas and policy issues identification at the core and the use of projects as example of how

the problems could be addressed. Jim added that the IWPP needs to have a placeholder for policy changes, land use management efforts, construction practice modifications, other multi-objective efforts, manuals (e.g. Watershed Management Committee Initiative). Peggy added that the stakeholders need to be aware that the VCWPD must meet its mission in some manner and that if stakeholders feel alternative approaches are needed then they need to provide input and support (politically/financially) to implement these alternate approaches. If the stakeholders choose not to participate and engage in the development of the IWPP, then they shouldn't complain about the outcome later – as such we need to focus on ways of engaging the stakeholders. The current IWPP priorities are focused on meeting the VCWPD mission and goals – the prioritization criteria may need to be modified in order to broaden the goals of the plan.

We need to be clear with stakeholders regarding what we are asking them to do and where? Inserting Questions/Input slides into the PPT presentation would help clarify where input is requested. Also need to reinforce that the project costs shown are derived as a 1:1 match to potential flood damages.

The next meeting should focus on defining: 1) Problem Areas – what and where are they; 2) Planning Process – do policies and programs need to change to help address the problem; and 3) Potential Projects – what are the types of on-the-ground solutions that could be implemented to address the problems.

To facilitate this discussion – post on the website the draft IWPP and the PPT.

#### IV. Administrative Items

A. Next Subcommittee meeting will be October 17, 2005 from 1:30-3:30 pm.

B. Calendar of Meetings- Steering Committee

V. Meeting adjourned at 3:50 pm.